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Abstract—This paper presents an assessment of the correlation between CyGNSS-derived global navigation satellite systems reflectometry (GNSS-R) bistatic reflectivity, $\tau$, and soil moisture active passive (SMAP) derived brightness temperature, $T_b/2$, over land surfaces. This parametric study is performed as a function of soil moisture content (SMC), vegetation opacity $\tau$, and albedo $\omega$. Several target areas, classified according to the International Geosphere-Biosphere Program (IGBP) land cover types, are selected to evaluate potential differentiated geophysical effects on “active” (as many transmitters as navigation satellites are in view) and passive approaches. Although microwave radiometry has potentially a better sensitivity to SMC, the spatial resolution achievable from a spaceborne platform is poor, $\sim 40$ km. On the other hand, GNSS-R bistatic coherent radar pixel-size is limited by half of the first Fresnel zone, which provides about $\sim 150$ m of spatial resolution (depending on the geometry). The main objective of this “active”/passive combination is twofold: a) downsampling the SMC, b) complement the information of microwave radiometry with GNSS-R data to improve the accuracy in SMC determination. The Pearson linear correlation coefficient of $\tau$ and $T_b/2$ obtained over Thailand, Argentinian Pampas, and Amazon is $\sim 0.87$, $\sim 0.7$, and $\sim 0.26$, respectively, while the so-called tau-omega model is used to fit the data. Results over croplands are quite promising and deserve special attention since the use of GNSS-R could benefit agricultural and hydrological applications because of: a) the high spatio-temporal sampling properties, b) the high spatial resolution, and c) the potential combination with microwave radiometry to improve the accuracy of the measurements.

Index Terms—CyGNSS, global navigation satellite systems reflectometry (GNSS-R), microwave radiometry, soil moisture active passive (SMAP), soil moisture content (SMC), tau-omega.

I. INTRODUCTION

MICROWAVE remote sensing instruments operating at L-band have shown a good sensitivity to SMC. Higher frequency (i.e., starting from C-band), radiometers, and scatterometers are significantly affected by vegetation cover, while optical sensors additionally suffer from weather conditions and clouds. It is well known that L-band radiometry provides higher sensitivity to SMC as compared with other instruments [1]. Different approaches for SMC determination from space have been implemented, among which: a) ESA’s soil moisture ocean salinity (SMOS) mission [1], [2] uses a $\sim 8$ m aperture deployable antenna and a passive synthetic aperture technique to achieve a $\sim 50$ km resolution; b) NASA’s soil moisture active passive (SMAP) mission [3], [4] uses a $\sim 14$ rev/min rotating $\sim 6$ m real-aperture reflector antenna, providing $\sim 40$ km of resolution. An adequate performance $\sim 0.1, 0.1$ km [5] for applications associated with hydrometeorology, hydrology, and agriculture is however not yet provided.

The use of GNSS L-band signals for Earth remote sensing has been investigated because they were originally proposed for mesoscale ocean altimetry in 1993 [6]. GNSS radio-navigation signals provide global coverage of the Earth’s surface and full temporal availability. L-band signals can penetrate clouds, and they are sensitive to SMC, sea ice salinity, snow water content, etc. Global navigation satellite systems reflectometry (GNSS-R) [7]–[9] is a sort of multistatic radar that exploits the numerously available signals of opportunity as provided by the satellite constellations for navigation (GPS, GLONASS, Galileo, Beidou) after being scattered over the Earth’s surface. The capability of GNSS-R to perform measurements over points along other directions than Nadir can improve the ability to study the spatio-temporal variability of land-variables, such as SMC and vegetation water content (VWC) [10]–[13]. Direct GNSS signals are mainly right-hand circular polarization (RHCP), with a certain degree of ellipticity. After surface scattering, they become left-hand circular polarization (LHCP); however, the interaction of the electromagnetic waves with the vegetation introduces a copolar term (i.e., RHCP) in the total scattered field [10]–[13]. Additional properties of the GNSS signals should be considered here. There are different correlation techniques to demodulate the signals, so as to extract the geophysical information added to the signals in the scattering process. The interferometric GNSS-R (iGNSS-R) and the conventional GNSS-R (cGNSS-R) are the most widely used [14]. cGNSS-R is appropriate for SMC determination because lower coherent and incoherent integration times are required so that the associated spatial resolution is bet-
ter [15]. The iGNSS-R is devoted to improve the precision (root mean square error RMSE) of altimetric measurements, despite the lower signal-to-noise ratio (SNR).

GNSS-R [9], [16] multifatic radar measurements can potentially be used synergistically with radiometers as a means to improve the spatial resolution in a cost-effective way. GNSS-R uses navigation signals as signals of opportunity so that the platform power requirements are reduced as compared with monostatic radar missions. Furthermore, GNSS-R techniques require relatively small antennas, and thus can be affordable in constellations of small satellites. At present, there are three missions providing GNSS-R data from space: UK-TDS-1 [17], CyGNSS [18], [19], and SMAP [20], [21]. In this paper, data from CyGNSS 8-microssatellites constellation (LHCP GPS L1 C/A, CyGNSS Level 1 Science Data Record Version 2.0, cGNSS-R) [22]–[24] are used together with SMAP radiometer data (Horizontal-H & Vertical-V polarization, SMAP Level L3 SPL3SMP_E Version 1.0) [25], [26] to evaluate the relationship between the bistatic reflectivity $\Gamma_{ij}$, where the subscript $rl$ denotes the incident ($r$, Right-HCP) and the scattered polarization ($l$, Left-HCP), and the normalized first Stokes parameter $\tau$ in Section II describes the physics for an appropriate understanding of the fundamentals of microwave radiometry and GNSS-R. Section III provides an overview of the datasets and the methodology. Section IV describes the relationship between $\Gamma_{ij}$ and $T_{ij}/2$ over selected target areas. Section V discusses the sensitivity of both techniques to SMC, $\tau$, and $\omega$. Finally, Section VI summarizes the main results of this study.

II. THEORETICAL ELEMENTS TOWARD A SYNERGISTIC USE OF MICROWAVE RADIOMETRY AND GNSS-R OVER LAND

A. Interaction of Electromagnetic Radiation With Random Surfaces

Scattering and emissivity from a random surface are defined through the bistatic scattering coefficient $\sigma^0$. This coefficient determines the relationship between the magnitude of the $p$-polarized incident (incidence and azimuth angles of the i-incident wave are $\theta_{i,i}, \phi_{i}$; where the subscript $i$ denotes the incident signal) and the $q$-polarized scattered (incidence and azimuth angles of the $s$-scattered wave are $\theta_{i,s}, \phi_{s}$; where the subscript $s$ denotes the scattered signal) electromagnetic fields [27]. The polarized emissivity $e_{g,p}$ in the observation direction is equal to one minus the reflectivity $\Gamma_{g,p}$ [27]

$$e_{g,p}(\theta_{i,i}, \phi_{i}) = 1 - \Gamma_{g,p}(\theta_{i,i}, \phi_{i})$$

(1)

where subscript $g$ denotes ground. The reflectivity $\Gamma_{g,p}$ can be calculated as the value of the integral of $\sigma^0$ over the upper half space [28]

$$\Gamma_{g,p}(\theta_{i,i}, \phi_{i}) = \frac{1}{4\pi \cos \theta_{i,i}} \int [\sigma^0_{pp}(\theta_{i,i}, \theta_{i,s}, \phi_{i}, \phi_{s}) + \sigma^0_{pq}(\theta_{i,i}, \theta_{i,s}, \phi_{i}, \phi_{s})] d\Omega_s$$

(2)

where $\sigma^0_{pp}$ and $\sigma^0_{pq}$ are, respectively, the copol and cross-pol components of $\sigma^0$, and $d\Omega_s = \sin \theta_{i,s} d\phi_{i,s} d\theta_{i,s}$. In the general case, the reflectivity $\Gamma_{g,p}$ is composed of coherent $\Gamma_{g,p}^{coh}$ and incoherent $\Gamma_{g,p}^{incoh}$ terms as [29]

$$\Gamma_{g,p}(\theta_{i,i}, \phi_{i}) = \Gamma_{g,p}^{coh}(\theta_{i,i}, \phi_{i}) + \Gamma_{g,p}^{incoh}(\theta_{i,i}, \phi_{i})$$

(3)

Then, the emissivity can be modelled using the previous equations as follows:

$$e_{g,p}(\theta_{i,i}, \phi_{i}) = 1 - \frac{1}{4\pi \cos \theta_{i,i}} \int [\sigma_{pp}^{coh}(\theta_{i,i}, \phi_{i}) + \sigma_{pq}^{coh}(\theta_{i,i}, \phi_{i})] d\Omega_s$$

$$+ \frac{1}{4\pi \cos \theta_{i,i}} \int [\sigma_{pp}^{incoh}(\theta_{i,i}, \phi_{i}) + \sigma_{pq}^{incoh}(\theta_{i,i}, \phi_{i})] d\Omega_s$$

(4)

The scattering shape $\sigma^0$ for a slightly rough surface follows a delta function along the specular direction ($\theta_{i,i} = \theta_{i,s} = \theta_{i}$). This dominant term is the coherent one [30], while the incoherent one spreads along all other directions. The formulation of scattering over random surfaces has been deeply studied and several approaches have been proposed. The more widely used models belonging to an analytical solution are the Kirchhoff model (KM) for rough surfaces, and the small perturbation model for slightly rough surfaces. Assuming the coherent scattering term $\sigma^{coh}$ is negligible, an expression for the incoherent one can be derived using KM under the geometric optics limit. On the other hand, under the KM with the physics optics approximation, the coherent reflectivity term is modeled as follows [30]:

$$\Gamma_{g,p}^{coh}(\theta_{i,i}, \phi_{i}) = |R_p(\theta_i)|^2 \exp(-2k\sigma \cos \theta_i)^2$$

(5)

where $R_p$ is the Fresnel reflection coefficient, $k$ is the signal angular wavenumber, and $\sigma$ is the surface height standard deviation (SD) (related to surface roughness). The experimental validation of these models was complicated in the past because monostatic radars only measure the backscattering coefficient. The intrinsic bistatic configuration of GNSS-R provides additional information; however, some works have also proposed to measure the backscatter [31]. In the bistatic case, an empirical correction term to determine the effective small-scale roughness was obtained to be as high as $\sim 4$ for incident angles $\theta_i \sim 45^\circ$ [32]. Several sounding balloon and space-borne experiments also showed a strong coherent component $\Gamma_{g,p}^{coh}$ over smooth surfaces such as land [12], [33], and sea-ice [34].

Finally, upon the substitution of (5) in (4), the emissivity $e_{g,p}$ is quantified by

$$e_{g,p}(\theta_{i,i}, \phi_{i}) = 1 - |R_p(\theta_i)|^2 \exp(-2k\sigma \cos \theta_i)^2$$

$$- \frac{1}{4\pi \cos \theta_{i,i}} \int [\sigma_{pp}^{incoh}(\theta_{i,i}, \phi_{i}) + \sigma_{pq}^{incoh}(\theta_{i,i}, \phi_{i})] d\Omega_s$$

(6)

The emissivity $e_{g,p}$ is estimated by the brightness temperature of the Earth’s surface $T_{g,Bp}$ and its effective (physical) temperature $T_{ph}$ as follows [35]:

$$e_{g,p} = T_{g,Bp}/T_{ph}$$

(7)

A microwave radiometer provides an estimation of the brightness temperature $T_{g,Bp}$, that over land surfaces depends on the
following parameters: \( \theta_i \), signal polarization, SMC, vegetation cover, surface temperature, and roughness \( \sigma \). There are relevant differences between the measured brightness temperatures of smooth and rough surfaces [30]. For smooth surfaces, the incoherent reflectivity \( \Gamma_{\text{incoh}}^{g,p} \) is small and thus the emissivity \( e_{g,p} \) can be modeled only using the coherent reflectivity term \( \Gamma_{\text{coh}}^{g,p} \). Theoretically, the calculation of the coherent reflectivity term \( \Gamma_{\text{coh}}^{g,p} \) can be done as the integral value of (2), reducing the integration limits around the specular direction.

**B. Reflectivity Estimation Using GNSS-R Bistatic Radar**

The scattering of GNSS signals is strong over an area around the nominal specular point \( \theta_{i,s} = \theta_{i,l} \). In general, the scattered electromagnetic field contains both a coherent \( \sigma_{\text{coh},0} \) and an incoherent contribution \( \sigma_{\text{incoh},0} \). The footprint-size associated with the coherent scattering is linked to the size of the first Fresnel zone. On the other hand, the incoherent scattering in a general scenario is limited by the first chip isorange ellipse, with a reduced spatial resolution. Over land surfaces, the scattering of GNSS signals is mainly coherent. Thus, the reflectivity estimation in this bistatic configuration could provide an improved understanding of the models, while complementing the use of microwave radiometers, which provide accurate SMC estimation but a poor spatial resolution.

A GNSS reflectometer measures the power of the Earth’s surface-scattered GNSS signals. The main observable is the so-called Delay Doppler Map (DDM) \( |Y_r(\tau, f)|^2 \), where \( \tau \) is the delay of the signal from the transmitter to the receiver and \( f \) is the Doppler shift of the electromagnetic reflected signal. Theoretically, DDMS can be derived under the bistatic radar equation as follows [36], [37]:

\[
\left|Y_r(\tau, f)\right|^2 = \frac{P_T \lambda^2}{(4\pi)^3} \iint \frac{|G_T G_R |\chi(\tau, f)|^2 (\sigma_{\text{coh},0} + \sigma_{\text{incoh},0})}{R_T^2 R_R^2} d^2\rho
\]

where \( P_T \) is the transmitted power, \( G_T \) and \( G_R \) are the transmitter and receiver antenna gains, respectively, \( R_T \) and \( R_R \) are the ranges from the transmitter and the receiver to the specular point, respectively, and \( \chi \) is the Woodward ambiguity function. The DDMS are therefore composed of two terms

\[
\left|Y_r(\tau, f)\right|^2 = \left|Y_{r,\text{coh}}(\tau, f)\right|^2 + \left|Y_{r,\text{incoh}}(\tau, f)\right|^2 .
\]

The modeling of the incoherent component \( |Y_{r,\text{incoh}}(\tau, f)|^2 \) has been deeply studied. It was originally derived under the KM with the geometric optics approximation, for a sea surface model with a Gaussian approximation of the slopes [36]. On the other hand, over smooth surfaces such as land, ice, and ocean with low-to-moderate wind-speed conditions, a strong coherent scattering contribution \( \left|Y_{r,\text{coh}}(\tau, f)\right|^2 \) to the DDMS has been experimentally measured [12], [33], [34]. At present, several formulations have been proposed to account for these contributions to the DDMS [37]–[40]. They rely on the assumption that the scattering decreases quickly away from the nominal specular reflection point. Under this assumption, the radar equation follows this shape:

\[
\left|Y_{r,\text{coh}}(\tau, f)\right|^2 = \frac{P_T \lambda^2}{(4\pi)^3} \frac{G_T G_R |\chi(\tau, f)|^2}{R_T^2 R_R^2} \int \sigma_{\text{coh},0} d\Omega
\]

where \( \theta_e = \pi/2 - \theta \) is the elevation angle. \( R \) is the range from the transmitter to the target over the surface in a monostatic radar configuration. This integral equation can be solved considering the definition of reflectivity in (2), and its application to the coherent scattering case in (5). Then, upon the substitution of (2) and (5) in (10), it is finally derived [37]

\[
\left|Y_{r,\text{coh}}(\tau, f)\right|^2 = \frac{P_T \lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{G_T G_R |\chi(\tau, f)|^2}{R_T^2 R_R^2} |R_p(\theta_i)|^2 \exp(-2k\sigma\cos\theta_i)^2 .
\]

If the image method for a specular reflection is applied to the Friis transmission formula, the transmitter sees its image in the reflection [28]. In this situation, the geometry can be modeled as two antennas separated a distance \( R = R_T + R_R \). An effort to provide a GNSS-R unified-model based on the bistatic radar equation, without the assumption of image theory, showed that in the case of the coherent scattering, the reflected power is roughly independent of \( R_R (R_T \gg R_R) \) as follows:

\[
\left|Y_{r,\text{coh}}(\tau, f)\right|^2 = \frac{P_T \lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2} \frac{G_T G_R |\chi(\tau, f)|^2}{(R_T + R_R)^2} |R_p(\theta_i)|^2 \exp(-2k\sigma\cos\theta_i)^2 .
\]

In the derivation of this equation, it was found that the equivalent area from which the coherent scattered signal comes from is \( 1/\sqrt{T} \) times the projection over the surface of the first Fresnel zone [41].

The reflectivity \( \Gamma_{g,rl} \) is estimated as the ratio of the reflected \( Y_{r,\text{Peak}} \) and the direct \( Y_{d,\text{Peak}} \) power waveforms peaks [42], after compensation of the noise power floor and the antenna gains, as a function of the elevation angle

\[
\Gamma_{g,rl} = \left|Y_{r,\text{Peak}}\right|^2 / \left|Y_{d,\text{Peak}}\right|^2 .
\]

**C. Effects of Vegetation on Microwave Signals**

Equations (5) and (6) provide the link between \( e_{g,p} \) and \( \Gamma_{g,p} \) for a random rough surface. Earth’s surface is mostly covered by different levels of vegetation that modifies this link. The understanding of vegetation effects on the geophysical relationship between \( e_{g,p} \) and \( \Gamma_{g,p} \) is relevant for: a) SMC determination, and b) to develop downscaling techniques using GNSS-R. The radiative transfer (RT) theory is a heuristic approach to model the transport of intensity through a random medium [43]. The so-called tau–omega \( (\tau - \omega) \) model is the zeroth order solution to the nonscattering RT equations and it provides an approximation of the vegetation effects for low frequencies, such as...
L-band. The optical depth $\tau$ and the single-scattering albedo $\omega$ parameterise the properties of the vegetation attenuation and the scattering effects (structural changes), respectively. The general expression is as follows [44], [45]:

$$T_{Bp} = T_S(1 - \Gamma_{g,p})^\gamma + T_r(1 - \omega)(1 - \gamma)$$

$$+ T_i(1 - \omega)(1 - \gamma)\Gamma_{g,p}^\gamma$$

(14)

where $T_S$ and $T_r$ are the effective temperatures of the soil and the vegetation, respectively, and $\gamma$ is the transmissivity of the vegetation layer. Most studies consider as a valid approximation that $T_S \approx T_r$ [45]. The first term is the radiation from the soil attenuated by the vegetation. The second term is the radiation directly from the vegetation, while the third term defines the downward radiation from the vegetation, reflected upward by the soil and again attenuated by the canopy. The transmissivity of the vegetation $\gamma$ can be defined in terms of $\tau$ and $\theta_i$:

$$\gamma = e^{-\tau/\cos\theta_i}.$$  

(15)

$\tau$ depends on the signal polarization and $\theta_i$ [46], especially for vegetation canopies with dominant vertical structures. $\tau$ can be linearly related with the VWC for low vegetated areas, while there is a good correlation with the normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and leaf area index for a wider range of vegetation types including forest [45]. At L-band, it is worth noting that: a) leaves are almost transparent, and attenuation is mainly due to branches [44]; b) the dependence of $\omega$ with $\theta_i$ should be considered for the GNSS-R case [12], [33].

### III. DATA AND METHODS

#### A. CyGNSS and SMAP Data

CyGNSS’s highest-priority mission objective is the study of tropical cyclones. Thus, the selected orbital configuration of each of these 8-GNSS-R receivers (operating at a frequency of 1.575 GHz) is a circular low Earth orbit with an inclination angle of 35°. Each single satellite has two $\sim 14.5$ dB-gain LHCP antennas pointing to the Earth’s surface with an inclination angle of 28° (antenna boresight). In this paper, the application of CyGNSS is extended to land surfaces studies. In this scenario, the scattering is mostly coherent, so that the spatial resolution is limited by approximately half of the first Fresnel zone, i.e., $\sim 150$ m (depending on the geometry) [41].

SMAP’s highest-priority mission objective is to provide global (and thus, the operation from a Sun-synchronous orbit (SSO), with 6 A.M.–6 P.M. equatorial crossing times) SMC maps with a resolution of at least $\sim 10$ km and with an accuracy of 0.04 cm$^3$/cm$^3$ unbiased RMSE [26]. This is achieved using the combination of active–passive information. SMAP’s 36 dB-gain dual-polarization (H & V) antenna reflector points to the Earth’s surface with an incident angle of $\theta_t \approx 40^\circ$. The approximately constant incident angle simplifies the data processing and enables accurate repeat pass for SMC estimation. Unfortunately, the radar high-power amplifier failed on 7th July 2015, leaving only the possibility to operate the receiver as a radiometer. In this paper, radiometer (operating at a frequency of 1.227 GHz) data are used. SMAP measures the brightness temperature $T_{Bp}$ at the two linear polarizations (H & V). The polarization of an electromagnetic wave can be represented by the four Stokes parameters $I$, $Q$, $V$, $U$. The first Stokes I describes the total intensity of electromagnetic emission, and it is of interest in this paper. In polarimetric passive remote sensing, the Stokes parameters are usually expressed in terms of brightness temperature. The normalized first Stokes parameter $T_I$ is defined as follows [47]:

$$T_I/2 = (T_{B_H} + T_{B_V})/2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{k_B B_w} I/2$$

(16)

where $k_B$ is the Boltzmann constant, and $B_w$ is the noise bandwidth. $T_I/2$ provides a valuable measurement of the total brightness temperature at circular polarization [48].

In this paper, an evaluation on the geophysical relationship between CyGNSS-derived reflectivity [22]–[24] and SMAP-emissivity [25], [26] is performed using the corresponding online available missions’ products.

CyGNSS Level 1 Science Data Record is used to estimate the bistatic reflectivity using the direct and reflected calibrated DDMs [49], based on the on-flight DDMs generated by the delay Doppler mapping instrument (DDM) [50], [51]. The calibrated reflected and direct DDMs are used to estimate the power waveforms $Y_{r,peak}$ and $Y_{d,peak}$; computed using 1-ms coherent integration time, followed by 1000 incoherent averages. The estimation of the CyGNSS reflectivity is obtained applying (13) after compensation of the antennas’ gain patterns versus the gain at the corresponding boresight direction [down-looking gain $\sim 14.5$ dB, $\theta_i = 28^\circ$ and up-looking gain $\sim 4.7$ dB, $\theta_i = 0^\circ$], and the difference of both gains at boresight. The compensation of the antennas’ gain is performed as a function of $\theta_i$, with a precision of four decimals. This is important for a correct estimation of $\Gamma_{c1}$ because the transmitted signal power depends on $\theta_i$, and because both gain patterns have a different dependence with this variable. The following CYGNSS Level 1 Science Data Record variables are used in this procedure: DDM signal to noise ratio and Zenith signal to noise ratio for the estimation of $Y_{r,peak}$ and $Y_{d,peak}$; while Specular point Rx antenna gain for the information of the down-looking antenna gain in the direction of the specular point. The up-looking antenna is an omnidirectional one with a $\sim 4.7$ dB gain at the antenna boresight and a half-power beam-width of $\sim 57^\circ$ [24]. The application of a moving averaging filter minimizes potential residual errors in the down-looking antenna gain pattern correction due to attitude determination and control system (ADCS) and in the estimation of the reflected and direct power peaks. The main goal of this filter is to provide monthly averaged values of $\Gamma_{c1}$, $T_I/2$, SMC, $\tau$, and $\omega$. The geometrical power losses [52] are autocalibrated using (13) because the coherent scattering (12) is roughly independent of $R_{R}$ ( $R_T \gg R_B$). Additionally, as a quality control, reflected DDMs used for the reflectivity estimation were selected with SNR values higher than 3 dB.

On the other hand, the SMAP Enhanced L3 Radiometer Global Daily 9-km Level L3 SPL3SMP_E Version 1.0 product is used. It is derived from the SMAP’s radiometer (6 A.M.–6 P.M. data in separate arrays) and ancillary data, over the global 9-km
Equal-Area Scalable Earth (EASE 2.0) grid [26], [53]. The main variables used along this paper are described here:

1) Brightness temperature ($T_{Bp}$): It is the arithmetic average of L1B_TB’s p polarized brightness temperature interpolated at 9-km using the Backus–Gilbert technique. This approach allows the use of additional radiometric information that was not available for the baseline product because the original brightness temperature product was oversampled in the along-track direction [53]. Water brightness temperature correction is applied to this parameter before SMC inversion.

2) Soil moisture content (SMC): The SMC retrieval is based on the application of the single channel algorithm at V-pol [26], [54], when favorable surface conditions are identified at a given grid cell. Then, corrections for surface roughness, effective soil temperature, and VWC are applied.

3) Vegetation opacity $\tau$: The retrieval is based on a priori NDVI information obtained from visible-near infrared reflectance data from the NPP/JPSS VIIRS instrument, and land cover type assumptions [26], [54]. It is used to retrieve $\gamma$ as an estimation of the attenuation of the electromagnetic signal through the vegetation layer.

4) Single-scattering albedo $\omega$: These data are classified by type of land cover and delivered to the SCA-V by means of a LUT [26], [54]. This parameter serves as an estimation of the fractional signal power scattered by the vegetation.

B. Gridding and Target Areas

The selected temporal data-window corresponds to September–October 2017 (one month). High SMC values, and no ice/snow over the monitored surfaces are expected during the first weeks of autumn (North hemisphere), and spring (South hemisphere). The selected temporal length of this filter is one month because if the temporal window is too small (e.g., one week) there are few points in the regression and probably also a lack of temporal fluctuations of geophysical parameters in most of the target areas over the Earth. The study of the geophysical relationship between $\Gamma_{rl}$ and $T_{1}/2$ is improved as larger is their variability, and thus one month is a reasonable temporal length. On the other hand, the seasonal changes could not be captured if the temporal length is too long (e.g., several months or one year) because the variability will be averaged. GNSS-R sampling characteristics are nonhomogeneous since they depend on the geometry [55]. On the other hand, SMAP’s antenna boresight rotates ~14 rev/min about Nadir, providing a ~1000 km wide-swath. CyGNSS data associated with incidence angles $\theta_i = [30^\circ, 50^\circ]$ around the SMAP’s antenna boresight were considered here to minimize the effect of $\theta_i$ on $\Gamma_{rl}$, while optimizing the number of samples available for this study.

A 0.1° by 0.1° latitude/longitude grid was selected and data were averaged using a moving window of 0.2° at steps of 0.1° (see Fig. 1). The associated spatial resolution is ~20 km at equatorial latitudes. This strategy was found to provide a better performance as compared with smaller windows (see Tables I and II). The larger window’s size provides an improved filtering of potential short-term fluctuations of brightness temperature and reflectivity (footprint ~500 m across-track/~7.6 km along-track; orbital height ~500 km, $\theta_e \sim 60^\circ$) due to different noise sources, such as ADCS and geolocation of the nominal specular point. Additionally, a larger window-size reduces the impact of neither spatially nor temporally collocated CyGNSS and SMAP measurements. On the other hand, a smaller window is less sensitive to the effects of land cover heterogeneity, and thus, the SD of the measurements is lower (see Table II and Fig. 2). However, the correlation between both sensors increases for a larger window because, in addition to previous reasons, this size smooths the effect of the different spatial resolutions of both sensors. A 0.04° window provides a spatial resolution approximately similar to that of the coherent scattering term $\sim 4$ km$^2$. On the other hand, the spatial resolution of the SMAP enhanced radiometer product is $\sim 81$ km$^2$. Aggregating reflectivity data over larger areas provides a product associated with the similar geophysical parameters that the radiometer is detecting at each measurement.

Different target areas can be monitored belonging to a wide variability of land-surface types based on their dominant IGBP land cover types (see Table I) obtained from the moderate resolution imaging spectrometer (MODIS) Terra+Aquade MCD12Q1 product [56]: Sahara (Barren), Pampas (Cropland), Thailand (Cropland), US Midwest (Grassland), Murrumbidgee (Open Shrubland), Tanzania (Savanna), Northeast Region of Brazil (Woody Savanna), and Amazon (Evergreen Broadleaf Forest). MODIS IGBP data at 500-m spatial resolution is an open access product [56]. In this paper, IGBP data is displayed using a 0.1° by 0.1° latitude/longitude grid [see Fig. 1(a)]. Table II summarizes this information while providing complementary information about the RVI [57]–[59], and the GSI [60].

RVI is an index of vegetation structure. It is independent of vegetation greenness, and it can be used to characterize the vegetation scattering due to structural elements. It can be estimated [59], [61] as follows:

$$\text{RVI} = \frac{8\sigma_{HV}}{\sigma_{HH} + \sigma_{VV} + 2\sigma_{HV}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)

where $\sigma_{pq}$ are the radar backscatter cross sections; in this paper, they correspond to the aquarius/SAC-D mission polarimetric radar product [59], [61]–[63]. RVI ranges from zero for bare soil, to the unity for dense vegetation.

GSI was first introduced in [60] to measure the degree of concentration when individuals are classified into types; as such it is generally used in ecology. Here, GSI is used as an indicator of the land cover heterogeneity. It can be calculated as in [61]

$$\text{GSI} = 1 - \sum \rho_i^2$$  \hspace{1cm} (18)

where $\rho_i$ is the relative portion of pixels that determines the IGBP class $i$ from MODIS. It ranges from zero to the unity when the heterogeneity is large.

IV. Spaceborne Bistatic Reflectivity and First Stokes Parameter Data Functional Relationship

SMAP’s L-band radiometer measures the microwave emission in the form of the brightness temperature $T_{1}/2$, while...
Fig. 1. (a) International geosphere-biosphere program (IGBP) land cover classification (see Table I). (b)–(f) 1-month (20/09/2017–20/10/2017) mean values over land surfaces: (b) SMC values derived from the SMAP’s radiometer enhanced product [53], (c) CyGNSS reflectivity $\Gamma_{rl}$, (d) normalized SMAP radiometer first Stokes parameter $T_I/2$, (e) vegetation opacity $\tau$, and (f) single-scattering albedo $\omega$. Window-size of $0.2^\circ \times 0.2^\circ$.

CyGNSS’s L-band GNSS reflectometer measures the fraction of energy forward-scattered $\Gamma_{rl}$ after transmission of the navigation signals of opportunity. The scattering of GNSS signals is mainly coherent $\langle |Y_{R,coh}(\tau,f)|^2 \rangle$, as an indication of the dominant contribution of the soil [33], [39], [64]. Here, spaceborne data were analyzed to improve our understanding of the geophysical relationship between $\Gamma_{rl}$ and $T_I/2$. The relationship was studied over the selected target areas as a function of SMC, $\tau$, and $\omega$. The goal of the synergistic use of both type of sensors is to improve the SMC determination and to that end, the effect of vegetation ($\tau$ and $\omega$) should be considered. Fig. 1(a) shows the IGBP land cover classification. Fig. 1(b)–(f) shows 1-month of averaged values of SMC [see Fig. 1(b)], $\Gamma_{rl}$ [see Fig. 1(c)], $T_I/2$ [see Fig. 1(d)], $\tau$ [see Fig. 1(e)], and $\omega$ [see Fig. 1(f)], using a window of $0.2^\circ \times 0.2^\circ$.

CyGNSS mission provides coverage of the Earth’s surface in the latitude range $\sim [-40^\circ, 40^\circ]$, and thus, only a limited number of land cover types can be studied [see Fig. 1(a) and (c)]. SMAP mission provides global coverage of the Earth because it operates from an SSO orbit. Over latitudes $\sim [-40^\circ, 40^\circ]$, the Earth’s surface is covered by numerous deserts and tropical rainforests. As such, this paper allows the study over regions with highly differentiated values of SMC [see Fig. 1(b)], $\tau$ [see Fig. 1(e)] and $\omega$ [see Fig. 1(f)], $\tau$ values, associated to signal
TABLE I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IGBP LAND COVER CLASSIFICATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Evergreen needleleaf forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Evergreen broadleaf forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Deciduous needleleaf forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 Deciduous broadleaf forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 Mixed forest</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6 Closed shrublands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 Open shrublands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 Woody savannas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Latitude and Longitude of the Selected Target Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lat [°]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lon [°]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson, High window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson, Medium window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pearson, Low window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD $\Gamma_{rl}$ [dB] High window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD $\Gamma_{rl}$ [dB] Medium window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD $\Gamma_{rl}$ [dB] Low window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD $T_{1/2}$ [K] High window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD $T_{1/2}$ [K] Medium window</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD $T_{1/2}$ [K] Low window</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For each of these areas: Pearson linear correlation coefficient $r$ between CyGNSS reflectivity $\Gamma_{rl}$ and SMAP radiometer normalized brightness temperature $T_{1/2}$, SD of the pixels SD corresponding to $\Gamma_{rl}$ and $T_{1/2}$, Gini–Simpson index (GSI), and best fit parameter $\alpha$ of the tau–omega model for the geophysical relationship between $\Gamma_{rl}$ and $T_{1/2}$. The Pearson coefficients and the SD are provided for three different window-size: High (0.1° × 0.1°), Medium (0.1° × 0.1°; 0.1°), and Small (0.02° × 0.02°; 0.04°). $\alpha$ parameters are provided for the high window-size.

Attenuation due to canopy layer, appear higher over tropical rainforests because this parameter is related to the wet biomass [65]. $\omega$ values, associated with incoherent scattering effects, are higher over drylands with forests such as woody savannas (dry biomass) [66], because it is related with land-cover type heterogeneity and structural effects of the canopy layer [65].

Figs. 3–5 show the scatter plots of $T_{1/2}$ against $\Gamma_{rl}$ measurements over Amazon [see Fig. 3(a), (d), and (g)], Thailand [see Fig. 3(b), (e), and (h)], Argentinian Pampas [see Fig. 3(c), (f), and (i)], Tanzania [see Fig. 4(a), (d), and (g)], US Midwest [see Fig. 4(b), (e), and (h)], Murrumbidgee [see Fig. 4(c), (f), and (i)], Sahara [see Fig. 5(a), (c), and (e)], and the Northeast Region of Brazil [see Fig. 5(b), (d), and (f)]. The range of $\Gamma_{rl}$ is the same for all the plots, and the ranges of $T_{1/2}$, SMC, $\tau$, and $\omega$ were adapted to each target area. This strategy was assumed to provide intercomparable plots, and at the same time showing full variability. The order in the figures was established as a function of decreasing SMC levels, from tropical rainforests to arid deserts. The Pearson correlation coefficients $r$ (see Table II) follow this decreasing order: $r_{Thailand} \sim -0.87$, $r_{Pampas} \sim -0.7$, $r_{Tanzania} \sim -0.49$, $r_{Amazon} \sim -0.26$, $r_{US} \sim -0.25$, $r_{Murrumbidgee} \sim -0.12$, $r_{Northeast} \sim -0.09$, and $r_{Sahara} \sim -0.06$. A wide range of SMC can be observed over all the target areas from dry soils to wet soils, except over Sahara and Northeast regions. This provides a useful framework to evaluate the correlation between both sensors. An inverse relationship was found between CyGNSS and SMAP passive observations with SMC, which in
turns reflects the expected sensitivity to changes in the dielectric constant of the soil [67]. This functional relationship between both types of sensors is different from that associated with previous SAR-based studies [61], [68]. The tau–omega model (14) under the assumption \( T_S \approx T_v \approx \alpha \), where \( \alpha \) is the coefficient of regression, was used to fit the scatter plots of \( \Gamma_{rl} \) versus \( T_1/2 \) (see Figs. 3–5). The coefficients \( \alpha \) were obtained using an iterative least square estimator. The results are summarized in Table II. This fit, based on the tau–omega model, shows sensitivity to the \( \alpha \) parameter in addition to \( r \). This is important in the potential development of microwave radiometry downscaling techniques based on time series statistical analysis of radiometer–reflectometer data functional relationship such as in [69].

A. Amazon, Thailand, and Pampas: High SMC Levels

Fig. 3 shows the analysis over Amazon [see Fig. 6(a)], Thailand [see Fig. 6(b)], and Argentinian Pampas [see Fig. 6(c)], with high SMC, high-to-moderate RVIs \( \text{RVI}_{\text{Amazon}} \sim 1, \text{RVI}_{\text{Thailand}} \sim 0.81, \text{and RVI}_{\text{Pampas}} \sim 0.39 \), and low-to-moderate GSIs \( \text{GSI}_{\text{Amazon}} \sim 0.04, \text{GSI}_{\text{Thailand}} \sim 0.52, \text{and GSI}_{\text{Pampas}} \sim 0.48 \). The dominant IGBP land cover type over the Amazon target area is evergreen broadleaf forests (IGBP 2). The mean canopy height is \( \sim 40 \) m, and the region is covered with a significant amount of rivers [70]. The dominant IGBP over Thailand (IGBP 14) and the Argentinian Pampas (IGBP 12) is croplands. Croplands are normally vegetated areas with different levels of VWC, and with homogeneous surface roughness levels due to agricultural activities. Two different types of croplands with differentiated VWC levels are selected for this study. Thailand is characterized by irrigated rice production and tropical forests. On the other hand, Pampas is a quite flat homogeneous terrain.

The \( T_1/2 \) dynamic ranges decrease from \( \sim 120 \) K (Thailand) and \( \sim 100 \) K (Pampas) to \( \sim 30 \) K (Amazon). The radiometer measurements over densely vegetated areas (e.g., Amazon) and deserts have a low SNR, which could introduce some degree of uncertainty in the interpretation of the results. On the other hand, the \( \Gamma_{rl} \) ranges seem to be less affected by the vegetation
cover: \(\sim 25\) dB (Thailand) [see Fig. 6(b)], \(\sim 20\) dB (Pampas) [see Fig. 6(c)] and \(\sim 28\) dB (Amazon) [see Fig. 6(a)]. This seems to indicate that GNSS-R signals can partially penetrate through the vegetation [20], being the scattering dominated by the soil. An interpretation of the results is provided for each target area.

Over the Amazon [see Fig. 3(a), (d), and (g)], the \(T_I/2\) level is high despite the high SMC, because the very high levels of \(\tau\) increase the emissivity. Here, the tau–omega model was also used to fit the geophysical relationship between \(T_I/2\) and \(\Gamma_{rl}\), as well as over croplands areas where it is expected to have a stronger coherent reflectivity \(\langle |Y_{r,coh}(\tau, f)|^2 \rangle\) because of the lower \(\tau\) and surface roughness. Fig. 3(a) shows high SMC values \(\sim 0.5 \text{m}^3/\text{m}^2\) along the complete \(\Gamma_{rl}\) dynamic range, while \(T_I/2\) appears saturated at \(\sim [275, 280]\) K with \(\tau\) values \(\sim 1.15\). Dense vegetation dominates the emissivity, while GNSS-R shows a larger dynamic range that could be associated with inland water bodies that could also explain the low \(T_I/2\) dynamic range. The strong coherent scattering due to the significant number of rivers [70] is partially attenuated by the vegetation. This explains the large \(\Gamma_{rl}\) dynamic range in the region, despite nearly SMC values. \(\tau\) is the dominant parameter over wet biomass (e.g., Amazon target area), with moderate \(\omega\) values. Thus, it is expected a large signal attenuation and a lower impact of incoherent scattering effects.

Over Thailand, \(T_I/2\) levels are higher as \(\tau\) increases and SMC decreases [see Fig. 3(b), (e), and (h)]. However, \(\tau\) dominates \(T_I/2\) for levels higher than \(\sim 270\) K, in agreement with observations over the Amazon. At the same time, in this target area, there are reflectivity peaks \(\Gamma_{rl} \sim [-5, -2]\) dB that could be associated with irrigated rice production, since \(\Gamma_{rl} \sim -2\) dB corresponds to flat freshwater surfaces in agreement with the Fresnel reflectivity [28]. In this sense, the reflectivity peaks up to \(\sim -2\) dB over the Amazon target area are also a symptom that there is a strong coherent scattering term \(\langle |Y_{r,coh}(\tau, f)|^2 \rangle\) nearly independent of the \(R_I\) (12).

Over the Argentinian Pampas [see Fig. 3(c), (f), and (i)], \(\tau\) levels are low and quite homogeneous. It is clear how \(T_I/2\) decreases while \(\Gamma_{rl}\) increases for higher SMC values with an apparent negligible saturation due to the vegetation. This indicates that SMC dominates \(\Gamma_{rl}\) for low opacity \(\tau\) levels, because in this
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Fig. 4. Relationship between CyGNSS reflectivity $\Gamma_{rl}$ and SMAP radiometer normalized brightness temperature $T_I/2$, as a function of (a)–(c) SMC, (d)–(f) vegetation opacity $\tau$, and (g)–(i) albedo $\omega$, and for different target areas (a), (d), (g) Tanzania, (b), (e), (h) US Midwest, and (c), (f), (i) Murrumbidgee. In (a)–(c), tau–omega model used to fit the scatter-plots is also depicted (red dots).

situation the GNSS signal penetration through the vegetation is high, and thus the coherent scattering mechanism associated with the soil is dominant.

B. Tanzania, US Midwest, and Murrumbidgee: Moderate SMC Levels

Fig. 4 shows the analysis over Tanzania [see Fig. 6(d)], US Midwest [see Fig. 6(e)], and Murrumbidgee [see Fig. 6(f)], with low-to-moderate SMC, high RVIs [RVI Tanzania $\sim 0.8$, RVI USMidwest $\sim 0.65$, and RVI Murrumbidgee $\sim 0.69$], and high GSIs [GSI Tanzania $\sim 0.72$, GSI USMidwest $\sim 0.79$, and GSI Murrumbidgee $\sim 0.43$]. The dominant IGBPs are grassland (IGBP 10), savanna (IGBP 9), and open shrubland (IGBP 7), respectively. Savannas are characterized by porous soils with a thin layer of humus. Seasonal heavy rains can drain quickly, preventing swampy conditions. The trees are widely spaced so that the canopy does not close. Open shrubland is covered by relatively dense foliage cover $\sim 30\%$–$70\%$ and short trees; while the US-grassland’s main biome are short-, mixed-, and tall-grass prairies [71]. These three selected target areas are characterized by low $\tau$ levels [see Fig. 4(d)–(f)]. Thus, as a first thought, $T_I/2$ and $\Gamma_{rl}$ should be mainly linked to SMC.

Decreasing $T_I/2$ dynamic ranges [$\sim 100$ K (Tanzania), $\sim 60$ K (US Midwest), $\sim 40$ K (Murrumbidgee)] correspond to decreasing SMC ranges [$<0.5$ m$^3$/m$^3$ (Tanzania), $<0.3$ m$^3$/m$^3$ (US Midwest), $<0.1$ m$^3$/m$^3$ (Murrumbidgee)] [see Fig. 4(a)–(c)]. The associated Pearson correlation coefficients are $r_{\text{Tanzania}} \sim -0.49$, $r_{\text{US}} \sim -0.25$, and $r_{\text{Murrumbidgee}} \sim -0.12$. In Tanzania, Fig. 4(a) shows SMC peaks $\sim 0.5$ m$^3$/m$^3$, and as expected, $T_I/2$ decreases. Thus, the $T_I/2$ dynamic range is larger; however, $\Gamma_{rl} \sim [-25, -10]$ dB is low [see Fig. 6(d)]. The interpretation is twofold: a) low surface-moisture levels associated with porous soils that decrease the surface reflectivity; b) higher vegetation scattering contribution $\omega$ [see Fig. 4(g)] belonging to a reduced signal coherence and an increment of incoherent scattering $\langle |Y_{r,\text{incoh}}(\tau, f)|^2 \rangle$ that reduce the signal power returns. In addition to low SMC levels, the latter aspect is understood as a trigger of the lower reflectivity also in the US Midwest $\Gamma_{rl} \sim [-25, -10]$ dB and Murrumbidgee $\Gamma_{rl} \sim [-20, -10]$ dB.
Overall, the scatter plots of Fig. 4 show a higher dispersion of the measurements as compared with those in other regions (see Figs. 3–5). This aspect is linked to the impact of the land cover heterogeneity (high GSI levels) over the selected target areas (see Table II). Land heterogeneity is a critical aspect in geophysical parameter retrieval as an indication of diversity. In regions with larger vegetation gradients such as US Midwest [see Fig. 4(e)], the GSI impact could be even more amplified.

C. Sahara and Northeast Region of Brazil: Low SMC Levels

Fig. 5 shows the analysis over Sahara [see Fig. 6(g)] and the Northeast Region of Brazil [see Fig. 6(h)], with very low SMC, different RVIs [ $\text{RVI}_{\text{Sahara}} \sim 0.12$, and $\text{RVI}_{\text{Northeast}} \sim 0.87$], and low GSIs [ $\text{GSI}_{\text{Sahara}} \sim 0$, and $\text{GSI}_{\text{Northeast}} \sim 0.35$]. The dominant IGBPs are barren (IGBP 16) and woody savanna (IGBP 8), respectively. The Sahara is covered by rocky mountains, boulder and graves zones, and shifting sand dunes (“sand seas”); with almost negligible vegetation. In addition to surface scattering, DDMs $\langle |Y_r(\tau, f)|^2 \rangle$ could have a contribution of volumetric scattering over areas with rich sand content and with very dry conditions, since the penetration depth at L-band is around $\sim 2$ m for $0\%$ of volumetric moisture [72]. On the other hand, woody savanna is characterized by dry forests, including: a) “low shrubby caatinga” ($< 1$ m of canopy height) associated with shallow sandy soils and gently undulating surface, and b) “tall caatinga forest” ($< 25$ m of canopy height) associated with eutrophic soils derived from basic rocks. Scattering albedo $\omega$ is especially sensitive to woody biomass [66].

The $T_{1/2}$ dynamic range over the Sahara Desert is very small, as expected over a very dry region with negligible vegetation. Thus, there is no correlation between both types of sensors (see Fig. 5). On the other hand, the $\Gamma_{rl}$ range is wide $\sim [-25 - 7]$ dB, with relatively high-power returns. This could be attributed to subsurface effects (not considered in our model) that increase the power of the reflected signals, and the long-term wind that continuously reshapes the surface. This introduces a temporal variation of the bistatic scattering coefficient $\sigma^0$, explaining the significant $\Gamma_{rl}$ dynamic range $\sim 18$ dB.

Over the Northeast Region of Brazil [see Fig. 5(b), (d), and (f)], the $\Gamma_{rl}$ range $\sim [-27 - 15]$ dB is smaller as compared with Sahara [see Fig. 5(a), (c), and (e)]. The almost negligible
SMC [see Fig. 5(b)], and the high levels of vegetation scattering \( \omega \) [see Fig. 5(f)] explain the low \( \Gamma_{\tau} \), while showing a significant dynamic range \( \sim 10 \text{ dB} \). Because of the lack of \( T_{1/2} \) dynamic range, the slope between \( T_{1/2} \) and \( \Gamma_{\tau} \) is almost zero. As a final remark of this region, it appears a reduced dispersion of the measurements as compared with regions with moderate and diverse SMC levels, despite a higher structural effects (RVI \( \sim 0.87 \)).

The impact of a low/very low SMC level is to reduce the power levels of the soil-scattered signals, but also explains the near constants high \( T_{1/2} \) level.

V. PARAMETRIC SENSITIVITY ASSESSMENT OF GNSS-R AND RADIOMETRY

Passive microwave measurements have the potential to estimate SMC, \( \tau \), and \( \omega \). L-band missions such as SMAP, SMOS, and Aquarius are more sensitive to lower canopy layers than optical sensors, and thus they can accurately estimate \( \tau \) effects on the radiometer measurements to provide accurate SMC. This approach assumes that \( \tau \) changes more slowly than SMC and that it is nearly constant over adjacent overpasses.

Here, the sensitivity changes of GNSS-R and microwave radiometry to these geophysical parameters were assessed. The fluctuations of the Pearson coefficients of \( \Gamma_{\tau} \) (\( r_{\Gamma_{\tau}} \)) and \( T_{1/2} \) (\( r_{T_{1/2}} \)) versus SMC (see Fig. 7), \( \tau \) (see Fig. 8), and \( \omega \) (see Fig. 9) were studied as a function of the mean values of SMC [see Figs. 7–9(a) and (d)], \( \tau \) [see Figs. 7–9(b) and (e)], and \( \omega \) [see Figs. 7–9(c) and (f)] over the selected target areas. In the interpretation of these results (see Table III) it is worth noting that the SMAP’s antenna gain is \( \sim 36 \text{ dB} \) [26], while that of CyGNSS is \( \sim 14.5 \text{ dB} \) [24]. The GNSS-R sensitivity to SMC increases for higher SMC [see Fig. 7(a)], while the radiometric sensitivity to SMC decreases [Fig. 7(d)]. CyGNSS-based GNSS-R improves the sensitivity as \( \sim 0.85/(m^3/m^3) \) [see Fig. 7(a)], while SMAP-based radiometry losses the sensitivity as \( \sim 1.25/(m^3/m^3) \) [see Fig. 7(d)]. A future study from a GNSS-R platform with a higher antenna gain should be performed to investigate the achievable sensitivity to SMC and polarimetric ratio should be used to cancel out the surface roughness effects on \( \sigma^0 \) [20]. However, the sensitivity of the SMAP-based microwave radiometry for low SMC is very high, with a Pearson coefficient that tends to \( \sim 0.9 \).

On the other hand, both types of sensors reduces the sensitivity to SMC as larger are the effects of vegetation attenuation \( \tau \) [see Fig. 7(b) and (e)]. The effect of signal attenuation is more pronounced than that of vegetation scattering [see Fig. 7(b), (c), (e), and (f) and Table III]. In particular, GNSS-R losses sensitivity as \( \sim 0.36/(1 \text{ m unit}) \) [see Fig. 7(b)], while radiometry as \( \sim 0.54/(1 \text{ m unit}) \) [see Fig. 7(e)].

The GNSS-R and radiometric sensitivities to changes on \( \tau \) (see Fig. 8) decrease for increasing values of SMC \( \sim [0, 0.25] \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^3 \), \( \tau \sim [0, 0.2 \sim 0.3] \), and \( \omega \sim [0, 0.04 \sim 0.05] \); while there is a change of trend for larger values of these parameters SMC \( \sim [0.25, 0.5] \text{ m}^3/\text{m}^3 \), \( \tau \sim [0.2 \sim 0.3 1.2] \), and \( \omega \sim [0.04 \sim 0.05 0.08] \). In the first range, the Pearson coefficients are positive for GNSS-R \( r_{\text{GNSS-R}} \sim 0 \), and negative for microwave radiometry \( r_{\text{Rad}} < 0 \). Two main explanations are found that justify these empirical observations: a) \( \Gamma_{\tau} \) increases with increasing \( \tau \) values, because they are mostly associated

Fig. 7. Scatter plot of Pearson coefficients \( r_{\Gamma_{\tau}} \) (a)–(c) and \( r_{T_{1/2}} \) (d)–(f) and robust fits versus SMC, for different mean values of SMC (a), (d), \( \tau \) (b), (e), and \( \omega \) (c), (f). See Table I for detailed information of the IGBP number marked with dots.
Fig. 8. Scatter plot of $r_{\Gamma}$ (a)–(f) and $r_{T}/2$ (d)–(f) and robust fits versus $\tau$, for different mean values of SMC (a), (d), $\tau$ (b), (e), and $\omega$ (c), (f). See Table I for detailed information of the IGBP number marked with dots.

Fig. 9. Scatter plot of $r_{\Gamma}$ (a)–(c) and $r_{T}/2$ (d)–(f) and robust fits versus $\omega$, for different mean values of SMC (a), (d), $\tau$ (b), (e), and $\omega$ (c), (f). See Table I for detailed information of the IGBP number marked with dots.
to increasing values of SMC, as one can expect over areas with little vegetation; b) $T_{1/2}$ is mostly associated with the soil surface, so that an increment in SMC reduces the emissivity. This range of parameters cover a significant fraction of the Earth’s surface where microwave radiometry SMC accuracy requirements (0.04 m$^3$/m$^3$) can be met [64, Fig. 6]. In the second range, there is a change of trend belonging to an inverse behaviour $r_{\text{GNSS-R}} < 0$ and $r_{\text{Rad}} > 0$. In this case, the interpretation is also twofold: a) here the attenuation is high, and thus $\Gamma_{\tau}$ decreases despite the high SMC; b) the vegetation emission contributes significantly to the radiometer measurements. Fig. 9 shows $T_{1/2}$ measurements without appreciable sensitivity to $\omega$, and at the same time, increasing anticorrelation of $\Gamma_{\tau}$ and $\omega$ as larger is $\tau$.

VI. SUMMARY AND CONCLUSION

In this paper, the impact of SMC, $\tau$, and $\omega$ on the relationship between CyGNSS GNSS-R bistatic reflectivity $\Gamma_{\tau}$ and SMP microwave radiometry brightness temperature $T_{1/2}$ has been quantified as a function of the dominant IGBP land cover type, the RVI, and GSI indices. The tau–omega model was used to fit this geophysical relationship over the selected target areas, with the following Pearson coefficients between $\Gamma_{\tau}$ and $T_{1/2}$:

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{\tau} \text{ vs SMC} & : 0.48, -0.19, 0.14 \\
T_{1/2} \text{ vs SMC} & : 0.52, 0.52, 0.32 \\
\Gamma_{\tau} \text{ vs } \tau & : -0.60, -0.62, -0.53 \\
T_{1/2} \text{ vs } \tau & : 0.75, 0.70, 0.58 \\
\Gamma_{\tau} \text{ vs } \omega & : -0.03, -0.32, 0 \\
T_{1/2} \text{ vs } \omega & : -0.08, 0.23, 0.22
\end{align*}
$$

Table III

Pearson SMC $\tau$ $\omega$

As higher is the SMC, the sensitivity of CyGNSS reflectometer (antenna gain $\sim 14.5$ dB) increases, while that of SMAP radiometer (antenna gain $\sim 36$ dB) decreases. While microwave radiometry has a limitation associated with the physics behind the measurements, GNSS-R sensitivity could be improved by means of a higher antenna gain. On the other hand, observations show that GNSS-R is less affected by the wet biomass ($\tau$). Thus, further research work with an improved antenna gain in future GNSS-R experiments could provide useful information to elucidate the regimes under which the different information provided by both techniques could be optimally used.
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